

(ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್.)

Accounts staff in each department should be improved. Action taken by Government towards that end has not been explained. Therefore, this is the proper time for the Government to show whether they could adopt that procedure and minimise such demands in future.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—I oppose the Mysore Appropriation (No. 5) Bill, 1956 with all vehemence at my command. The policies of the Government and the way in which they have been executed warrant us to oppose this Bill. In spite of repeated accusation against the Government, they are still following the red-tapism method in carrying on the administration of the State.

Regarding the Electrical Department I would like to say that though the department have sanctioned estimates to the tune of crores, they are very slow in executing them. Again, regarding the civil works, though National Highways are improved a bit, the roads that are under the P.W.D. and under District Board management are not properly maintained. When Government took over some roads from the District Boards, they made some discrimination, especially so in the case of Chitaldrug and they left over certain important roads. We are all aware that the finances of the District Boards are depleted by certain amendments which were passed by this House, so much so the District Boards were not in a position to maintain the roads in a proper and fit condition. I would therefore urge that the Government should take over some more important roads from the District Boards and maintain them in a proper and fit condition.

Sir, again and again complaints have been made that Government are very slow in settling pension claims. In spite of repeated demands and repeated reminders made on the floor of this House, I do not know why pension claims are not settled expeditiously. I oppose this Bill, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is:

†Introduced and moved with the recommendation of the Rajpramukh.

“That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 5) Bill be taken into consideration.”

The motion was adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clauses. The question is:

“That Clauses 2 and 3 stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 and 3 were added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Schedule. The question is:

“That Schedule stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

The Schedule was added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 1, Title and Preamble. The question is:

“That Clause 1, the Title and the Preamble stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, the Title and the Preamble were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Sir, I move:

“That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 5) Bill, 1956, be passed.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is:

“That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 5) Bill, 1956, be passed.”

The motion was adopted.

†MYSORE APPROPRIATION (No. 6) BILL, 1956.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Finance).—Sir, I beg to introduce † The Mysore Appropriation (No. 6) Bill, 1956. I beg to move † the same may be taken into consideration.

My previous remarks hold good in this case also. Since this is only a

Regularisation Bill on the recommendation of the Public Accounts Committee, I beg this House to give its approval.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

“That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 6) Bill, 1956, be taken into consideration.”

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ (ತುರುವೇಕೆರೆ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಿಂದೆ ಆಗಿದೆ, ಈಗ ಅಂಗೀಕಾರ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ ಯಿಲ್ಲ ಎನ್ನುವ ಹಾಗೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಈ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ನೀತಿ ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿಯಿಂದ ಬಂದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಿಂತಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಬಹಳ ಉದಾಸೀನತೆ ತೋರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. 5 ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್‌ಗೆ ಜುನಾವಣೆಯನ್ನು ಮುಂದಕ್ಕೆ ತಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್‌ಗಳಿಗೆ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ 1952 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಅದರೂ ಇದುವರೆಗೂ ಅದರಂತೆ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದುವರೆಗೆ ಐದು ಸಲ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯಾಯಿತು. ಬರೀ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯಾಗುವುದರಲ್ಲೇ ಇದೆಯೇ ಹೊರತು ಅದರಂತೆ ನಡೆಸಲು ಯಾವ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನೂ ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದು ಬಹಳ ಖಂಡನೀಯವಾದುದು. ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊನ್ನೆ ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳ ಸಮ್ಮೇಳನ ನಡೆಯಿತು. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹಳ ತೀವ್ರತೆಯಿಂದ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಪುನಃ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರೇಕ್ಷಕರಾಗಿ ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳ ಸಭೆಯು ಕೂಡ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದರು, ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದರೂ ಕಾರ್ಯ ರೂಪಕ್ಕೆ ತರಲು ಮನಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾತ್ಕಾರ ದಿಂದಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಎಲ್ಲವನ್ನೂ ಬಿಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೋ ಅಲ್ಲವೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಇಂಥ ಡಿಮಾಂಡ್‌ಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಂದಿಷ್ಟು ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಸರ್ಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಲಂಚ ರುಪುವತ್ತು ಹಿಂದಿನ ಹತ್ತ ರಷ್ಟು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿದೆ. ಲಂಚ ನಿರೋಧ ಇರಬೇಯಿದ್ದರೂ ಅದರಿಂದ ಯಾವ ಪ್ರಯೋಜನವೂ ಆಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಇಲಾಖಾ ಮುಖ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಹೆತೋಟಿಯಿಲ್ಲ, ಅವರು ತಮ್ಮ ಕೈಕೆಳಗಿನ ನೌಕರರಿಂದ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದು ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕಡೆಯೂ ಎದ್ದು ಕಾಣುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಅದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಈ ಮನೋದೇಯನ್ನು ವಿರೋಧಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 6) Bill, be taken into consideration.”

The motion was adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clauses 2 and 3. The question is :

“That Clauses 2 and 3 stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 and 3 were added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Schedule. The question is :

“That the Schedule stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

The Schedule was added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 1, Preamble and Title. The question is :

“That Clause 1, the Preamble and the Title stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, the Preamble and the Title were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

Sri H. SIDDHAVEERAPPA.—I beg to move :

“That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 6) Bill, be passed.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 6) Bill, 1956, be passed.”

The motion was adopted.

MYSORE CITY AND TOWN MUNICIPALITIES (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1956.

Motion to consider.

Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—I beg to move :

“That the Mysore City and Town Municipalities (Amendment) Bill, 1956, as passed by the Legislative Council, be taken into consideration.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

“That the Mysore City and Town Municipalities (Amendment) Bill, 1956, as passed by the Legislative Council, be taken into consideration.”

*ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ (ಟಿ. ನರಸೀಪುರ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಇಂಥಾ ಒಂದು ಮನೋದೇಯವನ್ನು